

Dedicated to Costică MUSTĂȚA on his 60th anniversary

ON THE APPROXIMATION OF SOLUTIONS TO NONLINEAR OPERATORS BETWEEN METRIC SPACES

Ion PĂVĂLOIU

Abstract. A Gauss-Seidel-type method for the solution of linear systems, based on the decomposition of the system matrix into four matrices blocks, has been proposed by R. Varga in [3]. The convergence of this method was studied in [1] and [2].

In this paper we shall extend the ideas contained in the above quoted works to the case of nonlinear system equations.

MSC: 65J15

Keywords: system of equations with nonlinear operators in metric space, convergence, Gauss-Seidel iteration

In the paper [3], R. Varga proposes a Gauss-Seidel type method for solving linear systems, which is based on decomposing the matrix of the system in four submatrix blocks. The convergence of this method has been in [1] and [2].

We shall extend these ideas to the case of nonlinear systems.

Let (X_i, ρ_i) , $i = 1, 2$, two complete metric spaces, and $X = X_1 \times X_2$, $F : X \rightarrow X_1$, $G : X \rightarrow X_2$ two mappings. We are interested in studying the existence and uniqueness of the solution of the system

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} u &= F(u, v) \\ v &= G(u, v), (u, v \in X) \end{aligned}$$

In this sense, we shall consider the sequences $(u_n)_{n \geq 0}$, $(v_n)_{n \geq 0}$ generated by the Gauss-Seidel method, i.e., $\forall n \geq 0$, $u_{n+1} = F(u_n, v_n)$, $v_{n+1} = G(u_{n+1}, v_n)$.

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} u_{n+1} &= F(u_n, v_n) \\ v_{n+1} &= G(u_{n+1}, v_n), (u_0, v_0) \in X, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \exists n \geq 0 \text{ such that} \\ &\text{we have } \|F(u_n, v_n) - F(u_{n+1}, v_n)\|_1 \leq \alpha, \quad \|G(u_{n+1}, v_n) - G(u_n, v_n)\|_2 \leq \beta, \quad \forall n \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Let $D_i \subset X_i$, $i = 1, 2$ and $D = D_1 \times D_2$. We shall assume that F and G verify Lipschitz-type conditions on D , i.e., there exist $\alpha, \beta, a, b \geq 0$ such that

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} \rho_1(F(x_1, y_1), F(x_2, y_2)) &\leq \alpha \rho_1(x_1, x_2) + \beta \rho_2(y_1, y_2) \\ \rho_2(G(x_1, y_1), G(x_2, y_2)) &\leq a \rho_1(x_1, x_2) + b \rho_2(y_1, y_2) \end{aligned}$$

for all $(x_i, y_i) \in D$, $i = 1, 2$.

For the study of the convergence of (2) we consider two sequences of real numbers $(f_n)_{n \geq 0}$, $(g_n)_{n \geq 0}$ with nonnegative terms, obeying the following system of difference inequalities:

$$(4) \quad \begin{cases} f_n \leq \alpha f_{n-1} + \beta g_{n-1} \\ g_n \leq a f_n + b g_{n-1}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, \end{cases}$$

where α, β, a, b are given in (3).

We associate to (4) the following system in the unknowns h, k :

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} \alpha + \beta h &= hk \\ ah + b &= hk \end{aligned}$$

It was shown in [1] that if α, β, a, b obey

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} \alpha + \beta + a\beta &< 2 \\ (1 - \alpha)(1 - b) - a\beta &> 0 \\ a > 0, b > 0, \end{aligned}$$

then the system (5) has two real solutions (h_i, k_i) , $i = 1, 2$ such that $0 < h_i k_i < 1$, $i = 1, 2$, and one of these solutions has both the components positive. Denote by (h_1, k_1) this solution, i.e., $h_1 > 0, k_1 > 0$, so that the elements of the sequences $(f_n)_{n \geq 0}$ and $(g_n)_{n \geq 0}$ obey

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} f_n &\leq Ch_1^{n-1}k_1^{n-1} \\ g_n &\leq Ch_1^n k_1^{n-1}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned}$$

where $C = \max\{\alpha f_0 + \beta g_0, (\alpha f_1 + b g_0)/h_1\}$.

Let $p_1 = h_1 k_1$ and $d_1 > 0$ be a positive number such that the sets

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} S_1 &= \{x \in X_1 | \rho_1(x, u_0) \leq d_1/(1 - p_1)\} \\ S_2 &= \{x \in X_2 | \rho_2(x, v_0) \leq d_1 h_1/(1 - p_1)\}, \end{aligned}$$

verify $S_i \subseteq D_i$, $i = 1, 2$, $0 = p_1/2 > d_1$.

Denoting $f_n = \rho_1(u_n, u_{n-1})$, $g_n = \rho_2(v_n, v_{n-1})$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$ and taking into account the above relations we obtain the following result [2].

Theorem 1 If the mappings F and G verify conditions (3) on the set D , $S_1 \times S_2 \subseteq D$, the numbers α, β, a, b verify (6) and $u_1 = F(u_0, v_0), v_1 = G(u_1, v_0)$ are such that $\rho_1(u_1, u_0) \leq d_1, \rho_2(u_1, v_0) \leq d_1 h_1$, then the following statements hold:

- a) the sequences $(u_n)_{n \geq 0}, (v_n)_{n \geq 0}$ converge, and denoting $\lim u_n = \bar{u}, \lim v_n = \bar{v}$, then (\bar{u}, \bar{v}) is the unique solution of (1) in the set $S = S_1 \times S_2$;

- b) the following inequalities are true

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} \rho_1(\bar{u}, u_n) &\leq \frac{d_1 p_1}{1-p_1} \\ \rho_2(\bar{v}, v_n) &\leq \frac{d_1 h_1 p_1^n}{1-p_1}, n = 0, 1, \dots \end{aligned}$$

This theorem is proved using (3) and inequalities (4). We shall apply this Theorem to the study of a Gauss-Seidel type method for solving nonlinear operator equations.

Let (X, ρ) be a complete metric space and $X^m, X^s, X^{m-s}, 1 \leq s \leq m-1$ the cartesian products.

If $u, v \in X^s, i = \{m, s, m-s\}$, we define the metric in such a space in the following way: let $u = (u_1, \dots, u_i), v = (v_1, \dots, v_i)$ and put

$$(10) \quad \rho_i(u, v) = \max_{1 \leq j \leq i} \{\rho(u_j, v_j)\}, i \in \{m, s, m-s\}.$$

Consider the mappings $\varphi_k : X^m \rightarrow X, k = \overline{1, m}$, the following system of equations

$$(11) \quad x_k = \varphi_k(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m), k = \overline{1, m}.$$

and the additive mappings $\overline{F} : X^s \times X^{m-s} \rightarrow X^s$ resp. $\overline{G} : X^s \times X^{m-s} \rightarrow X^{m-s}$ in the following way. If $u = (u_1, \dots, u_s) \in X^s$ and $v = (v_1, \dots, v_{m-s}) \in X^{m-s}$ then

$$\overline{F}(u, v) = (\varphi_1(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_s, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{m-s}), \dots, \varphi_s(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_s, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{m-s})),$$

$$(12) \quad \overline{G}(u, v) = (\varphi_{s+1}(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_s, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{m-s}), \dots, \varphi_m(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_s, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{m-s})).$$

For solving (12) we consider the following iterations

$$(13) \quad \begin{aligned} u_{n+1} &= \overline{F}(u_n, v_n) \\ v_{n+1} &= \overline{G}(u_{n+1}, v_n), (u_0, v_0) \in X^s \times X^{m-s}, n = 0, 1, \dots \end{aligned}$$

Assuming that the mappings $\varphi_k, k = \overline{1, m}$ verify the Lipschitz type conditions, i.e., to exist $a_{kl} \geq 0, k, l = \overline{1, m}$ such that $\forall (x_1, \dots, x_m), (y_1, \dots, y_m) \in D \subseteq X^m$ it follows

$$(14) \quad \rho(\varphi_k(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m), \varphi_k(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m)) \leq \sum_{l=1}^m a_{kl} \rho(x_l, y_l), k = \overline{1, m}.$$

Denoting by α , β the coefficients of the $s \times s$ matrix A associated with A in method I, we have $\alpha = \bar{\alpha}$ and $\beta = \bar{\beta}$. In this case, the condition $\rho_s(\overline{F}(u, v), \overline{F}(x, y)) \leq \bar{\alpha}\rho_s(u, x) + \bar{\beta}\rho_{m-s}(v, y)$ is equivalent to

$$(15) \quad \text{and } \begin{aligned} \bar{\alpha} &= \max_{1 \leq k \leq s} \left\{ \sum_{l=1}^m a_{kl} \right\}, \quad \bar{\beta} = \max_{1 \leq k \leq s} \left\{ \sum_{l=s+1}^m a_{kl} \right\}, \\ \bar{a} &= \max_{s+1 \leq k \leq m} \left\{ \sum_{l=1}^s a_{kl} \right\}, \quad \bar{b} = \max_{s+1 \leq k \leq m} \left\{ \sum_{l=s+1}^m a_{kl} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

then it can be seen that the mappings \overline{F} and \overline{G} obey

$$\begin{aligned} \text{and if we take } \rho_s(\overline{F}(u, v), \overline{F}(x, y)) \leq \bar{\alpha}\rho_s(u, x) + \bar{\beta}\rho_{m-s}(v, y) \text{ we can get to} \\ \text{and also } \rho_{m-s}(\overline{G}(u, v), \overline{G}(x, y)) \leq \bar{a}\rho_s(u, x) + \bar{b}\rho_{m-s}(v, y). \end{aligned}$$

$\forall (u, v), (x, y) \in D = D^s \times D^{m-s}$. It is clear that if in Theorem 1 we set $X_1 = X^s, X_2 = X^{m-s}, \rho_1 = \rho_s, \rho_2 = \rho_{m-s}, \alpha = \bar{\alpha}, \beta = \bar{\beta}, a = \bar{a}, b = \bar{b}$ then $(u_0, v_0), (u_1, v_1)$ obey $\rho(u_0, u_1) \leq d_1, \rho(v_0, v_1) \leq d_1 h_1, \overline{S}_1 \subseteq D^s, \overline{S}_2 \subseteq D^{m-s}$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \text{respectively } \overline{S}_1 &= \{x \in X^s | \rho_s(x, u_0) \leq d_1 / (1 - p_1)\}, \\ \overline{S}_2 &= \{x \in X^{m-s} | \rho_{m-s}(x, v_0) \leq d_1 h_1 / (1 - p_1)\}, \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

and assuming that the assumptions of Theorem 1 are satisfied, we get the same conclusions regarding the solution of (12).

REFERENCES

- [1] Păvăloiu, I., La résolution de systèmes d'équations opérationnelles à l'aide des méthodes itératives, Mathematica, vol. 11 (34) pp.137-141 (1969).
- [2] Păvăloiu, I., Introducere în teoria aproximării soluțiilor ecuațiilor. Ed. Dacia (1976).
- [3] Varga, R., Matrix Iterative, Englewood Cliffs H.I. Prentice Hall (1962).

Received: 5.05.2002 "T.Popoviciu" Institute of Numerical Analysis
P.O.Box 68-1, 3400 Cluj-Napoca, Romania
E-mail: pavaloiu@ictp.acad.ro

acădemia română de științe și tehnologie, cadrul de cercetare și dezvoltare
North University of Baia Mare
"K. Gödel" Institute of Mathematics and Computer Science
Department of the Mathematics and
Computer Science
Victoriei 76, 4800 Baia Mare, Romania